IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 22005 of 2002

Between:

1 C.S.A. Solomon, s/o. C.A. David,
Shop No.5-12-244, Mangapuram Colony, Main Road,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.
2 G. Satyanarayana, s/o. Ramaiah,
Shop No.5-11-15/1, Venkateshwaranagar Colony, H.B. Colony,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.
3 I. Srinivas, s/o. I. Somaiah,
Shop No.11-14, Venkateswara Nagar, H.B. Colony,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.
4 Srikanth, s/o. Bhaskar,
Shop No.11-14, Venkateswara Nagar, H.B. Colony,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.
5 R. Bala Mahesh, s/o. R. Veeresham,
Shop No.11-8, H.B. Colony,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.
6 D. Ramesh, s/o. Rajaiah,
Shop No.11-8, H.B. Colony,
Moulali, Hyderabad-40.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police,
Rangareddy District.
2 The Station House Officer, Police Station Kushaiguda,
Ranga Reddy District.
3 The Chief Inspector of Explosives in India, Authorised and
represented by the Special Grade Deputy
Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy,
East Division, Hyderabad, R.R.District.
4 The Divisional Fire Officer,
Hyderabad.

5 Station Fire Officer, Fire Station (Moulali),
HCT Campus, Tarnaka,
Hyderabad-500 007.

6 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Uppal Mandal,
Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not allowing the petitioners to run their business at the licence granted shops by the respondents as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the business i.e. Deepavali crackery' business at the petitioners as per the licences granted to the petitioner and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SYED MOOSA KAZEEM

Counsel for the RespondentS: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

The present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents not to interfere with the business of the petitioners in selling Diwali fire-crackers for the year 2002.

The petitioners sought the relief with regard to Diwali 2002. Nothing survives in the writ petition at this point of time.

The writ petition is therefore, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

23.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Superintendent of Police,
Rangareddy District.
2 The Station House Officer, Police Station Kushaiguda,
Ranga Reddy District.
3 The Chief Inspector of Explosives in India, Authorised and represented by the Special Grade Deputy
Collector and Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy,
East Division, Hyderabad, R.R.District.
4 The Divisional Fire Officer,
Hyderabad.
5 Station Fire Officer, Fire Station (Moulali),
HCT Campus, Tarnaka,
Hyderabad-500 007.
6 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Uppal Mandal,
Ranga Reddy District.

7 2 CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad(O.U.T)

8 2 CD copies.